

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/502,539		YU ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Traviss C. McIntosh		1623		

All Participants:

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh.

(2) Lee Heiman.

Date of Interview: 29 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Called to see if a response had been filed to the office action mailed on 3/22/06 wherein applicants informed me no response had been filed.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)